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The Fate of the Information Society

- Vision since the 1960's
  - Ease to copy = deter investments
  - Strong regulatory framework required
- Increase the legal protections of IP
  - Longer copyright terms
  - Database protection
  - Anti-circumvention clauses (…)
The Fate of the Information Society

• Led to privatization and commoditization of information that used to be shared
  - Patents on genetic structures
  - Rights over the results of sporting events
  - Rights over the pagination of official court reports
The Fate of the Information Society

- But what about the positive objectives of the information society?
The Fate of the Information Society

- Open Access more recent approach
  - More equitable distribution of information
  - Boost the distributed production of knowledge
- Put the old assumptions in question
  - Mass collaborative projects over the Internet
  - Draft treaty on Access to Knowledge
The Fate of the Information Society

- But what about the incentive for investments?
The Fate of the Information Society

- Need to reach a balance
  - Ownership & openness must influence and depend on each other
- Central role of government
  - Balance the potential for commercial exploitation with the expectations of citizens
  - Importance of public sector information
The Fate of the Legal Information Market?

- Same old story
- Traditional publishers
  - Comes with a baggage of preconceptions and a dominant position
  - Commercial success is the only end
  - Maintaining the status quo is the key objective
- Legal information is a commodity that should be marketed
The Fate of the Legal Information Market?

- LIIs and free access to law
  - Take advantage of lower barriers to entry
  - Improved accessibility is the only end
  - Altering the circulation of information is the key objective

- Legal information is a public good on top of which everyone should be free to build
The Fate of the Legal Information Market?

- Which of the two positions will ultimately prevail?
  - Probably none
  - A compromise is more likely

- What can we already learn?
  - Access is more and more taken for granted
  - Issues at stake are now the effectiveness of access / the reusability of data
Effective Access

- Most governments promote the use of technologies to improve access to law
  - Mechanical reproduction of technique and approaches borrowed from the past
  - Preservation of technical restrictions that could be eliminated
- Free access is not full access
Effective Access

• Potential technical restrictions
  - Editorial selection
  - Delayed updates / limitation on historical depth
  - Technical constraints (PDF, input fields, user sessions)
  - Proprietary formats
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Effective Access

- Fragmentation of access
  - Absence of consolidation
  - Absence of standards
  - Impossibility to search jointly
- Web crawlers are often blocked on privacy grounds
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Reusability of Data

• Most governments would promote personal, educational and non-profit reuse of legal information
  - Many still distribute it under legal conditions that make such reuse difficult
• Even effective access is not full access
Reusability of Data

- Potential sources of legal restrictions on the reuse of legal information
  - Crown copyright
  - Exclusive agreements
  - State-run publishers business model
  - Integration with added value from the private sector
Important Information About the Statutes and Regulations on this Web Site
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Notice explicative relative à la réutilisation des données disponibles sur Légifrance

En vertu du décret n°2002-1064 du 7 août 2002, le service public de la diffusion du droit par internet (SPDDI) a pour objet de mettre gratuitement à la disposition des internautes, sur le site Légifrance, les données essentielles des normes juridiques et de la jurisprudence françaises.

Mais cette gratuité n’exclut pas certaines contraintes pour l’extraction (1) et la réutilisation des données disponibles sur le site :

- **Droit d’auteur**

  En premier lieu, **certaines de ces données sont protégées par le droit d’auteur** (article L111-1 du code de la propriété intellectuelle). Il s’agit pour l’essentiel des traductions en langue étrangère de codes, des abstracts ou résumés analysant soit des textes (sur la base JORF), soit des arrêts (sur les bases Consit, Jade, Cass et Capp), et de l’ensemble des plans de classement utilisés sur le site. Cette protection implique l’obligation d’en **indiquer la source**, pour toute réutilisation de ces données autres que strictement réservées à usage privé (article L122-5 du code de la propriété intellectuelle).

- **Licences**

  En deuxième lieu, toutes les bases du site sont protégées par les dispositions du titre IV du livre III (art L341-1 du code de la propriété intellectuelle et suivants) de la réutilisation de **parties quantitativement ou qualitativement substantielles du contenu** d’une des bases est subordonnée à l’obtention préalable d’une licence.

  Pour l’application de ce texte, et s’agissant des **seules bases de données diffusées en propre sur le site** (2) le comité du SPDDI a retenu le dispositif suivant :

  **A)** est regardée comme une partie qualitativement substantielle tout ensemble complet de données figurant sur le catalogue de tarification du coût de mise à disposition des données diffusées en propre sur le site, soit pour le stock, soit pour le flux annuel : l’extraction d’un tel ensemble (quelle que soit la pratique qu’a l’aide d’un robot) ne peut donc être librement effectuée qu’avec une licence, en supportant le coût du service de mise à disposition (livraison au format XML, sur un site dédié).

  **B)** sont regardées comme portant sur une partie quantitativement substantielle, des extractions couvrant, sur une période continue de 12 mois, des données représentant plus du huitième du flux annuel des bases concernées, soit :

  - pour l’ensemble des bases de jurisprudence (Constit, Jade, Cass, Inca, Capp) : 3000 arrêts
  - pour la base Jorf : 2500 textes
  - pour la base Legi : 400 textes (hors codes)
  - pour la base Kali : 1000 documents (articles)

  C’est donc seulement lorsque l’un de ces seuils est dépassé (sur 12 mois) que les extractions (et/ou réutilisations) doivent être couvertes par une **licence qui ne donne lieu au paiement d’aucun coût de mise à disposition**.

Terminé
Conclusion

- Many players now provide free access to legal information
  - States
  - Publishers
  - Civil society groups
- They have taken the baton from the LIIs while pursuing diverging objectives
Conclusion

- Access is becoming less discriminating between players as more and more of them provide it.
Conclusion

- The mode of access is becoming the main battlefield
  - Quality
  - Added value
  - Reusability
- Only the players capable of providing one or more of those will prevail
Conclusion

- All 3 elements require substantial investments
  - Sustainability is the key
  - Each LII must find its place in the legal information market
  - Otherwise states and publishers will ultimately take them over